

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/046,784 03/23/98 CARTER

K 83000.1007

022804  
THE HECKER LAW GROUP  
1925 CENTURY PARK EAST  
SUITE 2300  
LOS ANGELES CA 90067

TM02/0723

EXAMINER

DELA TORRE, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2173

DATE MAILED:

07/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/046,784

Applicant(s)

CARTER, KAPONO D.

Examiner

Crescelle N dela Torre

Art Unit

2173

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Crescelle N dela Torre.

(3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Angelo Gaz.

(4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 July 2001 .

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference  
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_ .

Claim(s) discussed: 1,7,12,17 and 23 .

Identification of prior art discussed: Borman et al (U.S. patent 5,890,172); Kelley (U.S. patent 6,078,921) .

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the differences between the present invention and the above prior art. For instance, it was pointed out that neither reference teaches selecting a portion of a data resource and attaching an attachment associated with the selected portion. Applicant's representative will provide further details of the differences in an after-final response .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i)☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

C. dela Torre  
CRESCELLE N. DELA TORRE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

7/19/01

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required